

WARM UP:

- Worksheet from 7.1 notes, #3 and 4

2)

STATE

1) SRS

2) norm. pop

or

$n > 30$

$$df = 19$$

CHECK

1) circled

2) circled

$$\bar{X} \pm t^*(s/\sqrt{n}) = (6.0463, 7.6937)$$

We are 95% confident that the true average weight of babies born in Northside Hospital is between 6.0463 and 7.6937 lbs.

3)

STATE

1) SRS

2) norm. pop

or

$n > 30$

$$df = 23$$

CHECK

1) assumed

2) stated

$$\bar{X} \pm t^*(s/\sqrt{n}) = (15.21, 18.19)$$

We are 99% confident that the true average is between 15.21 and 18.19 units.

4)

STATE

1) SRS

2) normal pop
or
 $n > 30$

CHECK

1) circled

2) circled

$H_0: \mu = 4.9$

$H_a: \mu \geq 4.9$

$$t = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu}{s/\sqrt{n}} = 0.8018$$

$$P(t > 0.8018 \mid \underline{df = 21}) = 0.2158$$

- We fail to reject H_0 because p-value
> $\alpha = 0.05$.

- We have sufficient evidence that the
true average carbon monoxide level
is still 4.9 units.

5)

STATE

1) SRS

2) normal pop

or

$n > 30$

CHECK

1) assumed SRS

2) assumed normal

$H_0: \mu = 32$

$H_a: \mu > 32$

$$t = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu}{s/\sqrt{n}} = 1.20$$

- We fail to reject H_0 because p-value $> \alpha = 0.05$.

- We have sufficient evidence that the true average is still 32 units.

$$P(t > 1.20 \mid df = 15) = 0.1244$$

7.1

Mean Difference/ Matched Pairs Design

- How many samples? 2 samples - combine into 1
- What type of design? comparative
- Most common types of problems:
 - 1st/2nd group
 - before/after
 - * always after-before
- Can also be...
 - 2 subjects matched together (twins, couples)
 - * not good design - lurking vars.
- Two sets of data must be...
 - dependent
- How do we compare the 2 sets of data?
 - look @ differences

avg. diff.

- Once we have the differences...

- We use... one sample t procedures
- Test... the mean difference μ_d
(avg)
- How do we write this in the hypotheses?

$$\begin{aligned} H_0: \mu_d &= \underline{\hspace{2cm}} \\ H_a: \mu_d &\begin{matrix} \geq \\ \leq \\ \neq \end{matrix} \underline{\hspace{2cm}} \end{aligned} \quad \left. \vphantom{\begin{aligned} H_0: \mu_d &= \underline{\hspace{2cm}} \\ H_a: \mu_d &\begin{matrix} \geq \\ \leq \\ \neq \end{matrix} \underline{\hspace{2cm}} \end{aligned}} \right\} \text{often } 0$$

- Conclusion:

- same
- We have suff. evid. that the $P(t)$
avg. difference between
is units.

$$t = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu}{s/\sqrt{n}}$$

- Assumptions:

- SRS

- normal pop. of differences
or

$$n_d \geq 30$$

Don't do in Ch. 7: power of t-test

sign test for matched pairs

p. 517-523

Example #1:

The SAT prep course here at CB south claims to increase the SAT math scores of its students by 30 points. We don't think it is this much. We think it is less. We measure 5 students' SAT math scores before and after taking the class and find the following data. Test the hypotheses.

Subject	^{L1} Before	^{L2} After	After - Before
A	500	520	
B	430	440	
C	490	480	
D	550	590	
E	520	550	

$$H_0: \mu_d = 30$$

$$H_a: \mu_d < 30$$

$$t = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu}{s/\sqrt{n}} = -1.395$$

State Check

1) SRS 1) assumed

2) norm pop. of diff or $n \geq 30$ 2) assumed normal

$$P(t < -1.395 \mid df=4) = 0.1177$$

- We fail to reject....
- We have suff. evid. that the avg diff. in scores after SAT prep course is still 30pts.

Example #2:

We want to test the differences between Mrs. Tannery's (CB East teacher) Block 2 and Block 4 SAT prep classes. Mrs. Tannery thinks that she taught Block 2 better than Block 4. Using the data below, test the hypotheses. The data gives the average class score for each of the 9 weeks of the course.

	L_1	L_2
Date	Block 2	Block 4
10-Sep	480	472
17-Sep	497	495
24-Sep	505	502
1-Oct	499	524
8-Oct	517	515
15-Oct	524	530
22-Oct	552	531
29-Oct	540	531
5-Nov	583	574

$$L_3 = L_2 - L_1 = \text{Block 4} - \text{Block 2}$$

$$H_0: \mu_d = 0$$

$$H_a: \mu_d < 0$$

$$\mu_d > 0$$

$$t = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu}{s/\sqrt{n}} = -0.605 \quad 0.605$$

State

Check

1) SRS

1) assumed

2) norm pop.
or diff.

2) assumed
norm pop.

or

$$n_d \geq 30$$

$$P(t < -0.605 | df=8) = 0.2811$$

- We fail to reject H_0 b/c p-value $> \alpha = 0.05$

- We have suff. evid. that the avg. diff. btw. Block 2 & 4 is equal to 0, thus Mrs. Tannery taught them the same.

Try problem #2 on the next page

a

$$A = L_1$$

$$B = L_2$$

$$L_3 = L_1 - L_2 = A - B$$

$$H_0: \mu_d = 0$$

$$H_a: \mu_d > 0$$

$$t = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu}{s/\sqrt{n}} = 2.8211$$

$$P(t > 2.8211 | df = 7) = 0.013$$

- We reject H_0 b/c $p\text{-value} < \alpha = 0.05$.
- We have suff. evid. that the true avg. difference btw. assessor A and B is greater than 0 (thousand \$)
- thus assessor A does tend to give higher assessments than B.

~~Answer to problem #2:~~

⑥ 95% conf. ($\alpha = 0.05$)

T-Interval

$$L_3 = A - B$$
$$B - A$$

$$\bar{x} \pm t^* s/\sqrt{n} = (0.24, 2.73)$$

We are 95% confident that the true average difference btw. assessors A and B is btw. 0.24 and 2.73 thousand dollars.

⑦ SRS

norm. pop. or diff.

or

$$n_d \geq 30$$